This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,171	01/18/2002	Kenichi Watanabe	020029	7623
23850 7.	590 11/06/2002			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			WARREN, MATTHEW E	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9tr			
i	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/050,171	WATANABE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	7	Matthew E. Warren	2815			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	ugust 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims					
,	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	☐ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	` <u>.</u>				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No			
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Election filed on August 12, 2002.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 7-13 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the plurality of convex regions left in the pad part" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/050,171

Art Unit: 2815

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Independent claim 7 and some of the claims include the limitation of "convex regions" in the pad part. Claim 7 also included the limitation that "a recess area ratio in a near wiring area superposed upon an extended area of the wiring part into the pad part within a first frame area,... becomes larger than a recess area ratio in a second frame area... and having a second width." Although specification mentions those limitations in the "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION," the specification does not adequately explain what the convex regions are or discuss the recess area ratio. The drawings also do not show the convex regions. They only show planar portions of the pads. For this reason the examiner has no idea what those limitations mean or what the intended novelty of the invention is.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the via hole" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 7-13, as far as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harada et al. (US 6,417,575 B2).

Harada et al. shows (figs. 3 and 4) a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor substrate (1), a first interlayer insulating film (7) made of insulating material on the substrate, and a first intra-layer insulating film (11) having a recess reaching the bottom of the first intra-insulating film. The recess has a pad part (portion of 14 in layer 11c) and a wiring part (portion 12 in layer 11a) continuous with the pad part. The pad part has a width wider than a width of the wiring part. A first pad is filled in the pad part of the recess and a wiring is filled in the wiring part of the recess. A second interlayer insulating film (15) is formed on the first intra-layer insulating film, the first pad and the wiring. The second interlayer insulating film is formed with at least one via hole (16), the via hole being superimposed upon the first pad. A second pad (100) is formed on the second interlayer insulating film and is connected to the first pad via a region in the via hole. The via holes are included in the first pad. A conductive wire

Application/Control Number: 10/050,171

Art Unit: 2815

(105 in fig. 4) is wire-bonded to the second pad. A contact area between the conductive

Page 5

wire and the second pad extends to an area on an outer side of via hole.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Tanaka (US 6,100,589), Yamaha (US 6,297,563 B1), and Anand

(US 6,362,528 B2) also show semiconductor devices having wiring pad portions formed

in an intra-insulating layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (703)

305-0760. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternating Fri.

9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for

regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

MEW

November 4, 2002

EBDIE LEE

SUPERIOR IN THE PROPERTY

TECHNICLORY CRITICIA 2000